

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—The damage was caused in Annavara village, mainly.

Smt. V. V. MIRJANKAR.—May I know the loss incurred by forest contractors in North Kanara District ?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—The loss is Rs. 5,000.

Sri L. B. BIRJE.—(Marathi).

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—5%.

Sri L. B. BIRJE.—(Marathi).

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಬಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಉದ್ಭವಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಲ್ಲ.

Smt. V. V. MIRJANKAR.—Yesterday the Minister was pleased to say that the loss incurred in Government depots and by forest contractors in Annavara in North Kanara was about 10 lakhs. Now he says the loss is only Rs. 5,000. May I know which figure is correct?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—My information is presumed to be correct. It is correct.

Smt. VIJAYA RAGHAVENDER RAO.—Is it not a fact that according to Government Order dated 21th July 1961, forest contractors have been exempted from payment of earnest money ?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Not exempted, but they have been asked to pay it after some time.

Sri L. B. BIRJE.—(Marathi).

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—A vague allegation was made before me. There was no evidence in support of the allegation. I have asked the Divisional Commissioner to enquire into the matter.

Sri N. O. SAMAJI.—(Marathi).

Mr. SPEAKER.—This question refers to the month of May.

Sri N. O. SAMAJI.—(Marathi).

Sri L. B. BIRJE.—(Marathi).

Sri B. D. JATTI.—(Marathi).

WRIT PETITIONS FILED BY INAMDARS OF EX-INAM VILLAGE
OF BENAKANAHALLI, DHARWAR DISTRICT AND
JADEED INNAMDARS OF EX-SARANJAMA VILLAGES IN
GAJENDRAGAD.

*Q.—1005. Sri A. J. DODDAMETI (Ron).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether it is a fact that the Inamdars of Ex-Inam Village of Benakanahalli, Dharwar District, and Jadeed Indamdars of

(Sri A. J. DODDANETTI)

Ex-Saranjama villages in Gajendragad, have filed writ petitions against the orders of the Government and that in each case a stay order has been issued ;

(b) if so, the dates of issue of above stay orders ,

(c) whether it is not a fact that the ryots of the above Inam villages are experiencing great hardships on account of issue of these stay orders

(d) whether they have taken necessary action to see that those stay orders are vacated ?

A.—Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—

(a) Yes.

(b) (i) 17th March 1960 in respect of Banakinhalli.

(ii) 14th April 1960 in respect of Gajendragad Saranjam.

(c) The tenants are not able to get tagai loans on the security of lands in their occupation under the liberalised well scheme ; their position is otherwise the same as it was before the inams were abolished.

(d) Yes.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ದೊಡ್ಡಮೇಟಿ.—ಈ ತನಿ ಅಜ್ಜಿ ರವರು ಮಾಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನರ್ಕಾರವವು ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತೆ ಏನು ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರು, ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದರೆ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನವರು ಏನು ಹೇಳಿದರು ?

†Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—I have requested the Advocate General to move the High Court to vacate the Stay Order. That is all what we can do.

Sri C. S. HULKOTI.—Has the High Court vacated the Order ?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—I have no information.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—Is the Government going to file counter affidavits in cases where they feel that Government's interests are involved ?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—The usual procedure is followed.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ದೊಡ್ಡಮೇಟಿ.—ಕಾಂಟ್ರಿ-ಅಫಿಡವಿಟ್ ಫೈರ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರೇ ?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—I have no knowledge.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ದೊಡ್ಡಮೇಟಿ.—ಇದರೊಳಗೆ ಏನು ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೀರ ಎಂದರೆ, ಅಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್-ಜನರಲ್‌ನ್ನು ರಿಕ್ವೆಸ್ಟ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರೇ ಹೊರತು, ಏನೂ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲ ಏನ್ನು ಇದು ಅರ್ಥವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—That is all what we can do.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ದೊಡ್ಡಮೇಟಿ.—ಕಾಂಟ್ರಿ ಅಫಿಡವಿಟ್ ಕೊಡುವುದು ನರ್ಕಾರವ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯ ವಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕವಿರಾಜ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಅಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್-ಜನರಲ್ ಹೇಳಿದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನಡೆದು ಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—Who is in-charge of this case. Is it the Advocate General and if so whether he has given any progress report in this cases.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—When the case is disposed of, the matter will be reported.

Sri C. S. HULKOTI.—Is there any time limit for disposal of writ applications.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—It is left to the High Court.

IRRIGATION WELLS SANCTIONED IN GULBARGA DISTRICT UNDER CENTRAL GOVERNMENT SCHEME.

*Q.—10 9. Sri J. P. SARWESH (Serum).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the number irrigation wells that are sanctioned in Gulbarga district under the Central Government scheme (Talukwise figures may be furnished);

(b) the number of them that were completed, and the number that are incomplete;

(c) the amount of money allotted to Gulbarga District under this Scheme;

(d) the amount that has been distributed so far (talukwise figures may be furnished);

(e) the total number of applications received for granting of amounts for wells in the said District;

(f) the number of applications sanctioned and the number rejected?

A.—Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—

(a) Statement appended.

(b) Majority of them are incomplete as second and third instalment is still to be paid in these cases.

(c) During 1960-61—Rs. 14 50,000.

During 1961-62 upto end of July 1961—Rs. 7,20,000.

(d) Statement appended.

(e) 3,462.

(f) Number sanctioned—1,351. Number rejected—796.